



Optimizing Mineral Extraction and Processing for the Energy Transition: Evaluating Efficiency in Single versus Joint Production

Mahelet G. Fikru and Ilenia G. Romani

Efficient ore extraction and processing is crucial for clean energy technologies. This study compares single and joint metal production to understand their cost dynamics and economic advantages. Using optimization models and data from 427 mining projects, we reveal how different production strategies impact costs, providing useful insights for industry stakeholders.

Efficient extraction and processing of ores into metals are fundamental to several clean energy transition technologies. Metals such as copper, nickel, indium, platinum, and cobalt are vital for wind turbines, solar panels, fuel cells, energy storage systems, and electric vehicles. However, the production of certain critical metals faces challenges such as high processing costs and supply chain constraints. This research investigates the economic viability and cost dynamics of single versus joint metal production, aiming to provide insights that can optimize mineral extraction and processing for the energy transition.

To analyze the cost dynamics of single and joint metal production, we develop a theoretical model grounded in optimization theories. The model characterizes the average cost of processing ore for two types of firms: single metal producers and joint metal producers. Single metal producers process ore to extract one metal, while joint metal producers extract two or more metals from the same ore.

The model incorporates several parameters, including:

- Per unit mining and processing costs
- Taxes per unit of ore
- Total factor productivity
- Metal demand
- Volume of ore processed

We use constrained optimization to derive conditions under which joint metal production can offer cost savings compared to single metal production. The analysis includes deriving conditional ore demand and characterizing optimized average costs for both types of firms. The model highlights the role of relative output elasticities, which measure how output changes with respect to input changes, in determining production efficiency and average costs. Higher relative output elasticity can lead to lower average costs, particularly in joint metal production.

In addition, the theoretical models are complemented with an empirical analysis based on data from 427 mining projects worldwide. This dataset includes information on the average cost of processing ore, the volume of ore processed, and the types of metals produced. Among these projects, 62 are joint metal producers, producing combinations such as copper-cobalt (Cu-Co), cobalt-nickel (Co-Ni), and copper-nickel (Cu-Ni). The remaining 365 sites are single metal producers.

By comparing site-level average costs across different countries, metal types, and producer types, we interpret patterns in the data using the solutions from the constrained optimization model. The empirical analysis reveals that joint

metal producers often face higher average costs compared to single metal producers. However, under certain conditions, joint production can achieve cost efficiencies.

We find that several factors influence the average costs of processing ores:

- **Per Unit Costs:** Higher per unit mining and processing costs increase the average cost for both single and joint metal producers.
- **Taxes:** Taxes per unit of ore significantly impact cost dynamics, especially for single metal producers.
- **Total Factor Productivity:** Improvements in total factor productivity can reduce average costs, benefiting joint metal producers more due to their complex operations.
- **Metal Demand:** Fluctuations in metal demand affect pricing and, consequently, cost structures. High demand can drive up prices, impacting cost efficiency.
- **Volume of Ore Processed:** Larger volumes of ore processed can lead to economies of scale, reducing average costs for both types of producers.

The theoretical and empirical analyses show that single metal producers generally have lower average costs compared to joint metal producers. This is attributed to the simpler

processing requirements and established technologies for base metals like copper. However, joint metal production can achieve cost savings when the relative output elasticity of the ore is high. In such cases, the efficiency gains from processing multiple metals can offset the higher initial costs.

In fact, joint metal producers experience more nuanced cost variations tied to changing per unit cost parameters, metal demand, ore volume processed, and total factor productivity. The model highlights how higher relative output elasticity of ore can lead to lower average costs, making joint production economically viable under such specific conditions.

These findings have significant implications for production decisions and supply chain management. Mining and metallurgical firms can use these insights to decide whether and when to adopt single versus joint production strategies based on the relative output elasticities of the ores for different metals. Understanding factors that influence average costs can help producers optimize their cost structures.

In terms of policy implications, industry stakeholders might employ several strategies to address the higher average costs faced by joint metal producers. From investing in Research and Development (R&D) for innovative mining and metal refining technologies, to information sharing within industry associations, using them as platforms for sharing best practices and knowledge, driving improvements in cost efficiency. Finally, government support could play a crucial role, with policies and programs supporting innovation and cost efficiency in mining technology, to enhance the competitiveness and sustainability of the sector.

References

Link to the full working paper discussed in this brief:

Fikru, Mahelet G. and Ilenia G. Romani (2024), "Optimizing Mineral Extraction and Processing for the Energy Transition: Evaluating Efficiency in Single versus Joint Production" [MIT CEEPR Working Paper 2024-09](#), July 2024.



ceep.mit.edu

About the Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research (CEEPR)

Since 1977, CEEPR has been a focal point for research on energy and environmental policy at MIT. CEEPR promotes rigorous, objective research for improved decision making in government and the private sector, and secures the relevance of its work through close cooperation with industry partners from around the globe. CEEPR is jointly sponsored at MIT by the MIT Energy Initiative (MITEI), the Department of Economics, and the Sloan School of Management.

About the Authors



Mahelet G. Fikru is an Associate Professor of Economics at Missouri University of Science and Technology (Missouri S&T). Her research interest is broadly at the intersection of energy, environmental, and resource economics. Her most recent research focuses on strategies to sustainably secure minerals for the energy transition where she delves into public perceptions and policies regarding the essential role of minerals in driving clean energy technologies forward. Her work examines both the economic and environmental impacts of mineral extraction and processing, with a particular emphasis on policy incentives, innovative technologies, and strategic decision-making within the minerals industry.

Details on her current projects can be found at her website: <https://sites.mst.edu/fikru/>



Ilenia Gaia Romani is a Ph.D. Candidate in Analytics for Economics and Management (AEM) at the University of Brescia (Italy) and Visiting PhD Student at MIT CEEPR (Boston), where she is working on a project focused on critical minerals mining in the United States. She's also researcher in the RAw Materials for the Energy Transition (RAMET) program at Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milan. Her research focuses on environmental and resource economics, and for her Ph.D. project she is studying the topic of key minerals for the energy transition, both empirically and from a macroeconomic modelling perspective.

This is the link to her profile and projects: <https://linktr.ee/ileniagaiaromani>



ceep.mit.edu

About the Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research (CEEPR)

Since 1977, CEEPR has been a focal point for research on energy and environmental policy at MIT. CEEPR promotes rigorous, objective research for improved decision making in government and the private sector, and secures the relevance of its work through close cooperation with industry partners from around the globe. CEEPR is jointly sponsored at MIT by the MIT Energy Initiative (MITEI), the Department of Economics, and the Sloan School of Management.