

MIT Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research



RESEARCH BRIEF

Russia and the Post-Paris World: A New Energy Landscape?

Igor Makarov, Y.-H. Henry Chen, and Sergey Paltsev

Climate-related policies that target a reduction in GHG emissions substantially affect the Russian economy. We find that climate-related actions outside of Russia decrease Russia's energy exports and lower Russia's GDP growth rate. We offer suggestions for key elements of the Post-Paris strategy, including diversification of economy, moving to low-carbon energy, and investing in human capital development.

The Paris Agreement not only writes the rules of the international climate regime for the coming decades, but also reflects the consensus of the world community regarding future evolution of the global energy landscape towards low-carbon development. Our study shows a number of scenarios of how this future landscape would affect the Russian economy, one that is highly dependent on the production and export of fossil fuels. Even relatively modest national targets declared by the parties of the Agreement by 2030 within their Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) bring some risks for the Russian economy, for example, those associated with the decreasing demand for energy imports from Russia (see Figure 1) or potential additional market barriers for Russian exporters of energy-intensive products.

However, these risks concern primarily specific sectors, are manageable, and are unlikely to dramatically affect Russia's general economic

performance. At the same time, any tightening of NDCs beyond 2030 would become a significant obstacle to Russian economic growth. Risks associated with the Paris Agreement slightly depend on Russia's formal participation in the international climate regime. A potential non-ratification of the Agreement would not improve Russia's position and probably would lead to additional risks for Russian exporters.

For Russia, it is critically important to get ready to mitigate the risks associated with the Paris Agreement by adjusting itself to the new energy landscape. Diversification of the economy is the major response. This paper simulates three simple diversification scenarios showing that redistribution of incomes from the energy sector to the development of human capital would help avoid the worst possible outcomes. We show that the magnitude of GDP increase can be in the order of 1-4% relative to the no-diversification



scenario. While the development of a full-scale strategy of adaptation of the Russian economy to a low-carbon future is beyond the scope of any academic paper, we advocate for the acceleration of this process by Russian industrial, academic, and government experts. Our results provide the initial exploration of the major areas to focus on for such a strategy.

We argue that the objective for this strategy should be broader than just the planning of low-carbon development. In addition to the plans to support lowcarbon technologies that are most relevant to the Russian market and to introduce new regulations and incentives promote low-carbon legislative to development (including emissions disclosure requirements and carbon pricing schemes), the strategy should find ways to address three types of risks: risks of reducing energy exports, risks of additional market barriers to Russian exporters of energy-intensive goods, and risks of relying on outdated energy technologies. The post-Paris energy landscape poses a challenge for Russia to gradually change the model of its economic development, launch the process of diversification of the economy, and elaborate the new comprehensive development strategy identifying its new position in the world economy. The current way of fossil export based development will be difficult to sustain in the coming decades, regardless of Russia's own climate policy choices.

RESEARCH BRIEF



Figure 1. Russia's energy exports (Exajoules) in:

References

Makarov, I., Y.H. Chen, and S. Paltsev, "Finding Itself in the Post-Paris World: Russia in the New Global Energy Landscape." MIT-CEEPR Working Paper 2017-022

About the Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research (CEEPR)

Since 1977, CEEPR has been a focal point for research on energy and environmental policy at MIT. CEEPR promotes rigorous, objective research for improved decision making in government and the private sector, and secures the relevance of its work through close cooperation with industry partners from around the globe. CEEPR is jointly sponsored at MIT by the MIT Energy Initiative (MITEI), the Department of Economics, and the Sloan School of Management.



RESEARCH BRIEF

About the Authors



Dr. Igor A. Makarov is an Associate Professor at the Department of World Economy, National Research University – Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia.



Dr. Y.-H. Henry Chen is a Research Scientist at the MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, USA.



Dr. Sergey Paltsev is a Deputy Director of the MIT Joint Program on the Science and Policy of Global Change and a Senior Research Scientist at MIT Energy Initiative and MIT Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research (CEEPR), Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, USA.

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful for the support provided by the MIT Skoltech Seed Fund Program and by the Basic Research Program of the National Research University Higher School of Economics.

About the Center for Energy and Environmental Policy Research (CEEPR)

Since 1977, CEEPR has been a focal point for research on energy and environmental policy at MIT. CEEPR promotes rigorous, objective research for improved decision making in government and the private sector, and secures the relevance of its work through close cooperation with industry partners from around the globe. CEEPR is jointly sponsored at MIT by the MIT Energy Initiative (MITEI), the Department of Economics, and the Sloan School of Management.