There is substantial concern that high variability of wind energy production on the electricity grid can increase outage risks, reliability costs, and energy market price fluctuations. Ian Schneider and Mardavij Roozbehani of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology explain how existing production-based subsidies for wind energy bias marginal investments to underweight the market value of energy produced, which leads to higher production correlation between developed wind sites and therefore increases system wide variability of wind energy production.

Two important criteria for investment in wind capacity are the total energy production and the average market value for energy produced at the wind site under consideration. The tradeoff between these values is important because high-production sites are frequently located near previous wind development, which suppresses local prices and thus the expected energy market value of future production.

Production based subsidies for wind energy, like the federal Production Tax Credit (PTC) and many state Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) credits or subsidies, bias investment at the margin towards sites with higher expected capacity values, i.e. with higher total production per unit of site capacity, but with less concern for the correlation between energy output and market prices. Ian Schneider and Mardavij Roozbehani explain how this tradeoff is derived from the optimization problem of a profit-maximizing wind investor, and they derive the impact of the PTC and other energy market policies on the efficient frontier of optimal investments.

The authors also use data from California to indicate the potential effect of the PTC on theoretical investment decisions, detailing how the efficient frontier for investments shifts as a result of the PTC.

Due to the near-zero marginal cost of wind energy production, the availability of wind serves to suppress energy market prices. Ian Schneider and Mardavij Roozbehani use this fact to develop results that link the correlation between a wind project's energy production and energy market prices to the overall variance of system-wide wind energy production. This result shows how production-based subsidies bias investment outcomes in electricity markets towards long-run equilibria with proportionally higher variance of total wind energy output. This particular impact of
the PTC is especially relevant because of the greater risk, system reliability costs, and price fluctuations associated with a higher variance of total wind energy output.

Ian Schneider and Mardavij Roozbehani explore the effects on long-run equilibria of policies that bias investments towards proportionally higher correlation of wind energy outputs. They show that highly correlated wind production supports long-run equilibria with higher capacity served by midrange plants and with less capacity served by peaker and baseload plants, as compared to investment in wind capacity with lower overall output variance. This effect reduces the availability of highly-responsive peaker plants, which could further limit the ability of the system to cope with high variability from wind generation.

Finally, Ian Schneider and Mardavij Roozbehani propose a price-proportional subsidy, where the subsidy received per unit of production varies proportional to the energy market price at the time of production. For instance, a proportional subsidy could always award an additional 20% of the energy market price for each unit of wind energy produced; the specific fraction that determines the subsidy amount can be determined such that program costs are equal to any existing production-based subsidy. Unlike existing production-based subsidies, a price-proportional subsidy scheme does not bias potential investors away from sites with higher average energy value. As such, a price-proportional subsidy would mitigate the effects detailed in this research by which traditional subsidies for wind energy increase the total variance of wind energy from new investments.
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